	/
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
4/0	14
.403.9	15
1EL — /02.403.90/	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
	MICHAEL ANTHONY HERNANDEZ
2	CA Bar No. 234579
	Michael@DefenseAttorneySD.com
3	Michael Anthony Hernandez, APC
	501 West Broadway, Suite 1360
4	San Diego, CA 92101
	Telephone: 619.341.3149
5	Attorney for Maria Mandujano Sanchez (admitted pro hac vice)
	AL COLUEN DE END DALLAGE FOR
6	JACQUELINE TIRINNANZI, ESQ.
_	NV Bar No. 13266
1/	jt@kathleenblisslaw.com
0	KATHLEEN BLISS LAW PLLC
8	1070 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Suite 202
9	Henderson, Nevada 89012 Telephone: 702.463.9074
9	Local Counsel
10	Local Counsel
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT CC
	1

DURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. 2:21-cr-00328-GMN-DJA Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND **ORDER** TO CONTINUE SENTENCING VS. (First Request) MARIA MANDUJANO SANCHEZ, Defendant.

Maria Mandujano Sanchez, by and through counsel of record Michael Anthony Hernandez, and the United States of America, by and through Assistant United States Attorney Allison Reese, hereby stipulate and request that the Court vacate Ms. Mandujano Sanchez's sentencing hearing currently set for June 8, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. and continue it to a later date convenient to the Court, but no sooner than sixty (60) days. This stipulation is made and based upon the following:

1. The parties agree to the continuance.

Case 2:21-cr-00328-GMN-DJA Document 20 Filed 06/01/22 Page 2 of 5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2.	On March 2, 2022, Ms.	Mandujano Sanchez pleaded guilty to one count of Import	ation of
	a Controlled Substance	(cocaine) in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 952 and 960. ECF N	No. 15.

- 3. Defense counsel's ability to effectively and thoroughly explore all factors relevant to Ms. Mandujano Sanchez's mitigation and sentencing presentation requires additional time to prepare.
- 4. Ms. Mandujano Sanchez is in custody and agrees to the proposed continuance.
- 5. The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that, "the court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States Constitution. See Betterman v. Montana, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016).
- This is the first request for a continuance of the sentencing. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for Ms. Mandujano Sanchez sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

Dated May 27, 2022.

/s/ Michael Anthony Hernandez /s/ Allison Reese ALLISON REESE, ESQ. MICHAEL ANTHONY HERNANDEZ, ESQ. Assistant U.S. Attorney Counsel for Maria Mandujano Sanchez

/s/ Jacqueline Tirinnanzi

JACQUELINE TIRINNANZI, ESQ. Local Counsel for Maria Mandujano Sanchez

TEL - 702.463.9074

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITE	ED	STAT	ES	DIST	RICT	COU	RT
	DI	STRIC	CT (OF N	EVAI)A	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. 2:21-cr-00328-GMN-DJA

Plaintiff,

VS.

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO CONTINUE SENTENCING (First Request)

MARIA MANDUJANO SANCHEZ,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 2. On March 2, 2022, Ms. Mandujano Sanchez pleaded guilty to one count of Importation of a Controlled Substance (cocaine) in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 952 and 960. ECF No. 15.
- 3. Defense counsel's ability to effectively and thoroughly explore all factors relevant to Ms. Mandujano Sanchez's mitigation and sentencing presentation requires additional time to prepare.
- 4. Ms. Mandujano Sanchez is in custody and agrees to the proposed continuance.
- 5. The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that, "the court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States Constitution. See Betterman v. Montana, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016).
- 6. This is the first request for a continuance of the sentencing. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for Ms. Mandujano Sanchez

Case 2:21-cr-00328-GMN-DJA Document 20 Filed 06/01/22 Page 4 of 5

KATHLEEN BLISS LAW PLLC 1070 W. HORIZON RIDGE PKWY., SUITE 202 HENDERSON NEVADA 89012 TEL – 702.463.9074 sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice are served by granting the requested continuance for the following reasons: Additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States Constitution. *See Betterman v. Montana*, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016). Failure to grant the continuance would deny parties sufficient time to meaningfully continue to prepare for sentencing, considering the exercise of due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

KATHLEEN BLISS LAW PLLC 1070 W. HORIZON RIDGE PKWY., SUITE 202 HENDERSON NEVADA 89012 TEL – 702.463.9074

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-cr-00328-GMN-DJA			
Plaintiff, vs. MARIA MANDUJANO SANCHEZ,	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO CONTINUE SENTENCING (First Request)			
Defendant.				
Based upon the stipulation of the part	ties, and good cause appearing, it is hereby			
ORDERED that Ms. Mandujano Sanchez's sent	tencing hearing set for June 8, 2022, hereby is,			
VACATED.				
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sen	tencing hearing is reset for August 10,			
2022, at the hour of 10:00 AM in courtroom	<u>7D</u> .			
Dated this _1_ day of _June_ 2022.				
	E HONORABLE GLORIA M. NAVARRO TTED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			